

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed on April 8, 2005. Claims 23-37 were rejected in this Office Action. Applicants have cancelled Claims 23-37. Applicants have added new Claims 38-50. Claims 38-50 are pending in the Application.

Double Patenting

The Office Action has rejected Claims 23-37 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,298,381 issued to Shah et al. ("Shah"). Applicants have cancelled Claims 23-37, rendering this rejection moot. New independent Claims 38, 44, and 50 each recite "selecting a mirrored service." Claims 1-22 of *Shah* do not recite this limitation, and thus a double patenting rejection of these claims would be inappropriate. Therefore, these claims are allowable as are Claims 39-43 and 45-49 which depend therefrom. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and favorable action in this case.


CONCLUSION

Applicants have now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for immediate allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other apparent reasons, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of all pending claims.

If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference or an interview would advance prosecution of this Application in any manner, please feel free to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants.

Applicants do not believe that any fees are due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTs L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicant



Bradley P. Williams
Reg. No. 40,227

Date: July 8, 2005

Correspondence Address:
Customer Number: **05073**